##  Topic: wanna add the next word? <br> Subject: Re: wanna add the next word? <br> Posted by: glotynn <br> Posted on: 2007/8/28 17:11:09 <br> Hi Futari,

I fully understand what you mean, but I believe there must be a restriction rule with respect to what type of the names of the games can be admitted to our game. If the name of a game 'bugs' is acceptable, why can't we allow such words as "cats", "dogs", "pigs", "pens", "toys",...etc. as they may all be the titles of books or articles. When I say "Let's have a look at Cats", I mean to read the book "Cats", and not to inspect a group of living cats. In fact, we know very well by our rules that these words are not legal for making entries to our game. This is the essential restriction, otherwise the rule of $s$-added plurals will run of no bindings.

For example, Geo's entries hugs (Haskell User's Gofer System, a bytecode interpreter) and pugs (a compiler and interpreter) are not the plurals of hug and pug, so the entries are ok. On the other hand, "bugs", "hearts", etc. carry no other meaning than the simple plurals in the relative games, so they ought to be restricted.

It's in fact no important who the winner may be, but we should try to make the game to look as reasonable as it can be.

Let's hear what other participants may say.

